Adherence to EID Testing Algorithm Uganda Experience

Charles Kiyaga National EID Program Coordinator

ASLM 2014 Conference- Cape Town



EID Testing Algorithm

EID Testing Schedule 1st PCR 1.5 2nd PCR 12 Rapid test 18 0 2 4 6 8 10 12 14 16 18 No. of Months

20

- 1st PCR is done at 6wks or any earliest opportunity there after
- Those negative at 1st PCR should do a 2nd PCR after 6 weeks after cessation of breastfeeding (ideally at 12months)
- All positive infants should initiate ART on the very day they receive results
- All exposed infants who have had a 1st PCR test are required do Anti-HIV RDT at 18 months, irrespective of the PCR results

Adherence to Testing Algorithm Study Sites

- In order to understand the level of adherence to the testing algorithm and retention, we collected retrospective data for 2012 at 24 health facilities, that comprise the full tier of the health system.
- Below are facilities that were visited

Facility	No of	Total	1st	No HIV		
Level	sites	PCR		Pos		Percentage
RRH		6	2471	2	209	8.5
GH		6	922		64	6.9
HC IV		6	459		29	6.3
HC III		6	369		31	8.4
Total	24	4	4221	3	333	7.5

Adherence to EID Testing Algorithm study sites



0 25 50 100 150 200 250 300

Adherence to Testing Algorithm Flow Chart for the 24 Study Sites



Attrition from 1st PCR test to final HIV rapid test at all Study Sites stands at a cumulative loss of 73.2%



1st DNA test with results Picked 1st test Results Did final rapid test

Attrition for Neg pts from 1st to 2nd PCR test at all study sites stands at a cumulative loss of 71.5%



Attrition for Pos pts from results to ART at all study sites stands at a cumulative loss of 38.9%



Positive

Picked Results Initiated on ART

Turn Around Time (TAT) in days for 1st and 2nd PCR test as well as ART initiation at the 24 study sites

TAT for T1 and T2 is from sample collection to when results are picked by the caretaker

TAT for ART Initiation is from when the caretaker receives positive results to when ART is initiated



Conclusions

- The uniqueness of EID is derived from the fact that the testing is not a one off, but a series over a period of time, which facilitates loss, as long as there are no mechanisms of patient follow up integrated into the testing process.
- Challenges of EID are not only a result of centralization or decentralization, and neither of these approaches by themselves may be able to resolve them alone.
- POC, though the hope of a new revolution in EID, may not be the magic bullet, if serious considerations are not made before their placement.
- It is one thing to have a testing algorithm and another thing to have the algorithm adhered to.

Recommendations

- Seeing the results from this study portraying poor adherence to testing algorithm, similar programs should undertake equivalent assessments
- TAT is one of the major causes of loss at the different time points. Efforts to reduce TAT especially when results reach the facility should be made
- To improve adherence to the 2nd PCR test and the final Rapid test, patients may need to be reminded through telephone calls or other means.
- Because of poor adherence to testing algorithm, spot checks should be done to assess discordance, like what was done in Kenya by Kageha et al 2012.
- More capacity for Pead ART initiation should be built especially at lower level health facilities

Recommendations

- There is need to integrated patient follow up and care into the EID testing process
- Due to the challenges with centralized EID programs, the same should be complemented with POC when they become available, especially in hard to reach areas and those that experience exceptionally long TAT.
- Before placement of POC, serious considerations should be made in order to optimize their impact.
- The considerations to be made should include; where POC should be placed, who should use it, what should be the patient flow, what should be the QA plan, what should be the data management plan, how should the logistics be managed, what about service and maintenance? etc.



Thank you for listening