Surveillance of TB Drug Resistance: Lessons from Uganda

Moses Joloba

The 22 TB high Burden countries - WHO



TB Burden, Uganda (~34m)

- Ranked No. 16
- All forms of TB per year: **49,000** cases
- Mortality from all forms of TB: 29,000
- High HIV prevalence (6.4% POPn and 50% in TB patients)

Trend in Prevalence of MDR-TB in Uganda

Study	New	Previously treated
1996 –7 Survey in 19 districts in Uganda. (Bretzel et al, Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 1999)	0.5%	4.4%
2008 – Kampala (Capital City) Drug Survey. (Lukoye D et al, PLoS ONE 6(1): e16130. 2011)	1.1%	11.7%
2010 – National Drug Survey (Manuscript in preparation)	1.4%	12.1%

Factors associated with MDR-TB in Uganda

Factor Univariate			Multivariate			
		N (%)	95% CI		OR (95% CI)	P-value
Sex	Male Female	21/881 (2.4) 10/444 (2.3)	0.94 (0.4-2.0)		1.2 (0.50-3.30)	0.7
Age	>35 years <35 years	22/568(3.9) 9/757 (1.2)	3.3 (1.5-7.0)	0.001	2 (1.0-4.3)	0.04
Residence	Urban Rural	28/798 (3.51) 3/527 (0.57)	6.3 (1.9-20.9)	0.002	6.0 (1.44-25.3)	0.02
HIV status	Positive Negative	11/388 (2.8) 20/984 (2.2)	1.3 (0.6-2.6)	0.5		
Previous TB RX	Yes	14/116 (12.1)	8.6 (4.3-16.9)	<0.001	8.6 (4.0-18.2)	<0.001
	Νο	17/1209 (1.4)				

MDR TB Surveillance in Uganda

Factors leading to improved surveillance

• Good sample referral system

Improved diagnostics

- Use of supportive tools
 - Laboratory Information System (LIS)
 - Geographical Information System (GIS)
 - Electronic delivery of results (sms, e-mail, phone calls)

Over view of lab set up

UGANDA TB LABORATORY NETWORK



Access to TB diagnostics in Uganda tiered health system





~1000 centres



100 LEDs



Problem:

Centralized testing
Slow diagnostics

Solution – phase I

• Improve sample referral & result dispatch

• Improve diagnostics - rapid

Rapid sample transportation and result dispatch is key



Improved Drug susceptibility testing (DST)

Indirect proportion by LJ and Bactec 460

Genotype MTBDR – Rapidly Detects MDR TB



Results – phase 1



NO.OF DAYS BETWEEN COLLECTION AND RECEIPT OF SAMPLES Jan - Dec 2008

Days	Frequency	Cum Percent
0	8	1.2
1	8	2.4
2	19	5.3
3	23	8.9
4	23	12.4
5	32	17.3
6	55	25.6
7	37	31.3
8	42	37.7
9	41	44.0
10	31	48.7
11	21	51.9
12-239	315	100.0
Total	655	100

Baseline:

Start of referral system

8.9% in 3 days: Avg 17 days

NO.OF DAYS BETWEEN COLLECTION AND RECEIPT OF SAMPLES Jan-2011-Dec 2011

	No .of samples	Percent	Cumulative Percent
0	4128	71.0	71.0
1	846	14.6	85.6
2	301	5.2	90.7
3	201	3.5	94.2
4	101	1.7	95.9
5	45	.8	96.7
6	48	.8	97.5
7	48	.8	98.4
8	24	.4	98.8
9	15	.3	99.0
10 - 19	56	.8	100.0
Total	5813	100.0	

94.2% of samples came within the set TAT of 3 days: Avg 2 days

Time from Collection to Receipt at

NTRL (All samples, Jan - Dec 2012)

Days	Freq	Cum %
0	4617	49.7
1	2695	78.7
2	749	86.7
3	538	92.5
4	247	95.2
5	154	96.8
6	85	97.7
7 - 27	211	100.0
Total	9296	

Time from Collection to Receipt at NTRL

(Outside Kampala, Jan - Dec 2012)

Days	Freq	Cum %
0	712	31.9
1	425	50.9
2	584	77.0
3	172	84.7
5	110	89.7
6	79	93.2
7	55	95.7
8 - 26	97	100.0
Total	2234	

Jan - Dec 2013

ļ	Days	Freq	Cum %
	0-3	7581	83.6
4	4-7	1194	96.8
:	>7	290	100.0
•	Total	9065	

- 70% of results are dispatched electronically

LPA/LJ-DST TAT FROM RECEPTION TO DISPATCH FOR 2011





Proportion of previously treated patients tested - 2011



Solution – Phase II

1. Expand & integrate referral system

– HUB system

2. Decentralize testing

Collection HUBs to improve access to the peripheral centers













60 MDR enrolled on RX in Same period

Smear negative	22,594
MTB +ve	4,130
Rif resistant	254
RIF indeterminate	270
Errors (Invalids or no result)	2,519
Rif resistance rate (%)	6.15
Pos rate (%)	18.8
Error rate (%)	11.5

Solution – Phase III

• Data handling



Can GxAlert get patients into **appropriate treatment faster**?

"We saw 10x the level of MDR we expected!"

Working on "Clinician Referral"

What is the best surveillance approach?

- Fast (TAT) to allow early initiation of therapy
- Sensitive to detect most of the cases
- **Specific** to minimize false treatment
- Accessible to have a significant impact
- Cost effective to be sustainable



As access increases, quality could decrease



Sample referral networks

- Maintain quality
 - At few units
- Improve access

Thank you !!!

